

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,513	10/25/2001	Lawrence W. Hrubesh	IL-10624	4251	
75	90 05/20/2003				
Eddie E. Scott Assistant Laboratory Counsel Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory P.O. Box 808, L-703 Livermore, CA 94551			EXAMINER		
			BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1771			
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	4			(1
1		Applie	ation No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply		10/00			HRUBESH ET AL.	
		Exam	ner		Art Unit	
		Jenna	Leigh Befumo		1771	
Period for Reply	LINO DATE OF UNS COMMUNIC	cauon appears on	the cover shee	et with the co	orrespondence addr	ess
- Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with - Any reply received I	D STATUTORY PERIOD FO DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC may be available under the provisions o THS from the mailing date of this commu ly specified above is less than thirty (30) ly is specified above, the maximum statu- in the set or extended period for reply w by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no inication. It days, a reply within the utory period will apply an	event, however, ma statutory minimum o d will expire SIX (6)	ay a reply be time f thirty (30) days MONTHS from th	ely filed will be considered timely, ne mailing date of this comm	nunication.
l 1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>15 April 200</i>	2			
		b)⊠ This action				
	s application is in condition f					
closed in Disposition of Clai		e under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the n 3 O.G. 213.	nerits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) _.	<u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
	above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are wit	thdrawn from con	sideration.			
5)☐ Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.					
	is/are rejected.					
7)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1</u>	<u>0-18</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.			
Application Papers						
	cation is objected to by the E					
10) I he drawing	g(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by	y the Exami	ner.	
Applicant i	may not request that any object					
	ed drawing correction filed o		approved b)	disapprove	d by the Examiner.	
12) The path or	d, corrected drawings are requir	red in reply to this (Office action.			
	declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.				
	S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for	foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d	d) or (f).	
	Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certif	fied copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	en received.			
2. Certif	fied copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	en received in a	Application	No	
	es of the certified copies of the pplication from the Internation the detailed Office action fo				n this National Stag	е
14) Acknowledgm	nent is made of a claim for d	omestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C	8 119(a) (t	0.2 provisional and	!!==4!==\
a) ∐ The trar	nslation of the foreign langua nent is made of a claim for d	age provisional ar	plication has b	seen receive	. al	ication).
ttachment(s)		:= p::e::y u		. 33 120 all	u/UI 121.	
) Notice of References) Notice of Draftsperso) Information Disclosur	c Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) No(s)	4) Interview 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Summary (PT Informal Pater	O-413) Paper No(s) it Application (PTO-152)	

1) 2) 3) Application/Control Number: 10/002,513 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 10 - 18 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. However, upon further review of the method claims the following species election is required.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - Species I: a) filling the voids with a solution which precipitates particles as it dries and b) filling the voids with a solution containing a colloidal suspension of particles which remain when the liquid dries (427/434.6)
 - Species II: a) filling the voids with a dry powder by passing the fibers through the powder in a manner in which the particles attach to said fibers and b) filling the voids with a dry powder by passing the powder over said fibers in a manner in which the particles attach to said fibers (427/180)
 - Species III: filling the voids with a dry powder by forcing dry powder to enter the space rollers (427/359)
 - Species IV: filling the voids with a dry powder by forcing dry powder to enter the space using a press (427/369)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, and 17 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,513

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Claims 11, 14, and 17 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising various types of particles which can be used to fill the voids in the fibers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, i.e., only one of the particle types listed, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/002,513

Art Unit: 1771

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Additionally, it is noted that the Applicant should be sure to choose a particle type which can be used in conjunction with the method of filling the voids species which is also selected by the Applicant.
- 5. Further, it is noted that upon review of the types of particles, it is unclear how materials such as laminates of aerogel powder, or powder impregnated fabrics qualify as particles.
- 6. Due to the complexity of the species election, a telephone call was not made t to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (703) 605-1170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jenna-Leigh Befumo May 15, 2003

> CHERYLA. JUSKA PRIALED EXAMINER